Keeping Up with the Joneses: Developing Meaningful Peer Groups

Presented
By Thomas K. Martin, Ph.D.
Associate Vice President for Institutional Research
Collin College

at the Annual Conference of the Texas Association for Institutional Research March 3 - 6, 2015 Addison, Texas



About Comparison

- Why do we compare ourselves to others?
 - Definition: "1: a mark on a permanent object ... serving as a reference ... 2 a: a point of reference from which measurements may be made b: something that serves as a standard by which measurements may be made." Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary
 - It's all about reference points, especially when measurement is ambiguous
- To whom do we compare ourselves, and to whom should we compare ourselves?
 - It depends
 - Do we want to feel good about ourselves?
 - Do we want to improve some aspect of ourselves?
 - Do we want to test ourselves?

Types of Peer Groups

- Comparison: Designed to answer the question, "How do we compare to organizations that are similar to us?"
- Aspirational: Designed to answer the question, "How do we compare to the best-performing organizations?" (Typical Benchmarking Group)
- Specialized: Designed to answer the question, "How do we compare to similar, or to the bestperforming, organizations in relationship to specific aspects of our operations or outcomes?"

Collin College Peer Groups

- Texas: THECB "Very Large" Community
 College Peer Group
- National: Annual IPEDS Data Feedback Reports
- National Community College Benchmark Project
- Workforce Training Benchmark Project



Brenner Methodology

• 2007 AIR Forum: Brenner, Viktor (Waukesha County Technical College, WI) "A Universal Model of Institutional Similarity for Selecting an IPEDS Institutional Data Report Comparison Group,"

http://breeze.fvtc.edu/ipedscomparisongp/)

 Collin identified 12 institutional peers using Brenner's methodology

Collin 2007 National Peer Group

- Brevard Community College, Cocoa, FL
- Central Piedmont Community College, Charlotte, NC
- Diablo Valley College, Pleasant Hill, CA
- Mesa Community College, Mesa, AZ
- Oakland Community College, Bloomfield, MI
- Palm Beach Community College, Lake Worth, FL
- Portland Community College, Portland, OR
- San Jacinto College District, Pasadena, TX
- Sierra College, Rocklin, CA
- Sinclair Community College, Dayton, OH
- Tulsa Community College, Tulsa, OK
- William Rainey Harper College, Palatine, IL



Issues with Brenner Methodology

- Included all post-secondary institutions in the U.S.
- Ended up with ranking of all institutions from most similar to least similar
- Many institutions near the top of the ranking were clearly not good fits
- Put a lot of emphasis on geographical similarity
- Took no account of important non-IPEDS variables such as socioeconomic status

Need to Revise 2007 National Peers

- Some institutions were not as good a fit as initially had been hoped.
 - The Florida institutions started offering baccalaureate degrees.
 - Some turned out to be subunits of larger systems.
 - Some turned out to be single-campus institutions.
- Collin grew much faster than its peer institutions.
 Over time, comparisons became less meaningful.
- Even without the difficulties cited above, there is a periodic need to re-tune a peer group.

2014 Peer Group Development

- January 2014: President and IRO agreed on need to re-tune Collin's national peer group after reviewing 2013's belated IPEDS Data Feedback Report
- Changes to IPEDS made it impossible to use the 2007 methodology without rewriting code
- Developed new model attempting to avoid some of the pitfalls of the 2007 model
- June 2014 IPEDS deadline



- Used IPEDS Data Center to pull data for institutions that met specific criteria for FY2012
 - Associate's Degree-Granting
 - Public
 - Institution Size (20,000+)
 - Suburban/Urban
- Pulled data for 126 institutions



IPEDS Variables Extracted for FY2012

1.	Inctitu	ıtion	Name
1.	11151111	ution	manne

- 2. City
- 3. State
- 4. ZIP Code
- 5. County
- 6. Geographical Region
- 7. High Degree Offered
- 8. Level of Urbanization
- 9. Carnegie Basic Class
- 10. Carnegie Undergrad Profile
- 11. Carnegie Size & Setting
- 12. Avocational Instruction
- 13. ABE or HS Equivalency
- 14. Secondary Instruction
- 15. Dual Credit

- 16. Life Experience Credit
- 17. AP Credits Offered
- 18. Weekend/Evening College
- 19. Total Fall Enrollment
- 20. Full-Time Enrollment
- 21. Part-Time Enrollment
- 22. FTE Enrollment
- 23. FTIC Degree-Seeking Enrollment
- 24. Transfer-In Degree-Seeking Enrollment
- 25. CE Degree/Cert-Seeking Enrollment
- 26. Race/Ethnic Percentages
- 27. Age Group Percentages

- 28. % Enrolled Exclusively in DE
- 29. % Enrolled in Some, but Not All DE
- 30. % Enrolled in Any DE
- 31. 12-Month Unduplicated Headcount
- 32. Student-Faculty Ratio
- 33. Number of Students
 Receiving Financial Aid
- 34. Average Financial Aid Amount
- 35. Total Revenues
- 36. Total Expenditures
- 37. Total Expenditures for Instruction

Extracted 2012 County Data from EASI Analytics "The Right Site Pro"

- Median Household Income
- Average Age
- Average Educational Attainment
- Crime Index
- Quality of Life Index
- Cost of Living Indices
 - All Items
 - Education and Communication
 - Housing
 - Transportation



Visited Web sites for the 126 institutions to determine whether each institution was

- Distinct multi-campus system (number of campuses)
- Distinct single campus institution
- Multi-campus part of a larger system (number of campuses)
- Single campus part of a larger system



Cleaned and recoded data

- % Part-Time
- % Minority
- Total Expenditures per 12-month unduplicated student



- Preliminary Analyses
 - Studied frequency distributions
 - Studied relationships between variables
- Based on preliminary analysis, the following variables were used in step 6:
 - System Status
 - Number of Campuses
 - County Median Household Income
 - Quality of Life Index
 - Cost of Living Index
 - Degree of Urbanization
 - Total Fall Enrollment
 - % Part-Time
 - % Minority
 - Student-Faculty Ratio
 - Total Expenses per 12-Month Unduplicated Student



- SPSS Two-Step Cluster Analysis
 - Handles both continuous and categorical variables
 - Can automatically find the optimal number of clusters
 - Assumes independence among variables
 - Assumes reasonably normal distributions for continuous variables
 - Assumes reasonably multinomial distribution for categorical variables
 - Fairly robust with regard to violations of assumptions
- Identified two clusters
 - Collin's cluster included 71 of 126 institutions



The Art of Selecting Peer Institutions

- Shared data for the key variables with the President and Leadership Team
- Extensive discussion with the President about which of the 71 institutions in Collin's cluster are the best fit
- Two single-campus institutions were excluded
- 51 excluded because President and Leadership Team decided they were not good fits

New National Peer Group (12 Institutions)

- Central New Mexico Community College (Albuquerque, NM)
- Front Range Community College (Westminster, CO)
- Hillsborough Community College (Hillsborough, FL)
- Long Beach City College (Long Beach, CA)
- Montgomery College (Rockville, MD)
- Oakland Community College (Bloomfield Hills, MI)
- Pima County Community College (Tucson, AZ)
- Portland Community College (Portland, OR)
- Saint Louis Community College (Saint Louis, MO)
- Salt Lake Community College (Salt Lake City, UT)
- San Jacinto Community College (Pasadena, TX)
- Santa Monica College (Santa Monica, CA)



Changes in IPEDS Peer Comparisons

- Collin's enrollment is now very similar to its peer median
- Undesirable gap increased between Collin and its peers related to the numbers of degrees and certificates awarded
- Major gap disappeared between Collin and its peers related to the number of noninstructional staff

Questions & Contact Information

Thomas K. Martin, Ph.D.

Assoc. Vice President for Institutional Research

Collin Higher Education Center, Suite 438

Collin College

McKinney, TX 75069

tmartin@collin.edu

972-758-3817

