



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARDS: A PARTNERSHIP IN RESPONSIBLE RESEARCH

R. Michael Haynes, PhD
Executive Director
Institutional Research and
Effectiveness
Institutional Review Board Member
Tarleton State University

OK, TODAY'S PRESENTATION...

- ❖ A discussion of the duties/responsibilities of Offices of Institutional Research (OIR) and Institutional Review Board Committees (IRB)
- ❖ Desired learning outcomes:
 - Understanding some accepted purposes of OIRs and IRBs
 - Understanding data OIR might have oversight of
 - Understanding if OIR data requests are subject to IRB review
 - Understanding the different levels of IRB review when dealing with human subjects
 - Components of an informed consent and when it can be waived
 - Resources available to help researchers determine the IRB level of review of their projects

WHAT ARE THE PURPOSES OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH?

- ❖ “...provides information to support university decision-making through a variety of analytic activities, data-gathering tasks, and research projects. OIR serves as the clearinghouse for most statistical information...” Yale University, 2015
- ❖ “...contributing to the development of policies and practices that comport with Pomona’s commitment to the effective and ethical stewardship of data assets.” Pomona College, 2015
- ❖ “...decision support: a set of activities that provide support for institutional planning, policy formation, and decision making...” Saupe (1990) as cited in *The Handbook of Institutional Research*
- ❖ OK, as IR professionals, we have a certain amount of managerial responsibility related to institutional data

WHAT ARE PURPOSES OF THE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD?

- ❖ “...an IRB is a committee that performs ethical review of proposed research.” U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2015
- ❖ “...an IRB is an appropriately constituted group that has been formally designated to review and monitor biomedical research involving human subjects.” U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2015
- ❖ “...the primary mission of the American University IRB is to facilitate those objectives by reviewing, approving, modifying, or disapproving research protocols submitted by AU researchers.” American University, 2015
- ❖ OK, IRBs possess a responsibility to protect/safeguard human subjects and institutional research protocols related to scholarly/research activities

TYPES OF DATA OIRs PROVIDE...

- ❖ Student information systems (Banner, PeopleSoft, etc....)
- ❖ Student level surveys (NSSE, CIRP, Noel Levitz, etc....)
- ❖ IPEDS
- ❖ Faculty/Staff surveys (FSSE, UT's Survey of Organizational Excellence, etc...)
- ❖ THECB
- ❖ College Choice Surveys (Petersons, US News & World Report, etc...)

- ❖ *Which data do we have oversight over? Most likely will fall under exempt review*
- ❖ The other sources are public access databases/sources; still might require IRB approval

HOW DID TARLETON IMPLEMENT CONTROLS TO INSURE REQUESTS FOR DATA ARE FOLLOWING PROPER PROTOCOLS?

- ❖ Online data request forms utilize [Qualtrics online survey tool](#)
- ❖ Asks requestor if data will be used for scholarly purposes....if yes, please provide IRB approval number (data cannot be collected until IRB approval/waiver received!)
- ❖ Office of Institutional Research/Effectiveness has made a good faith effort to determine if data is being used for scholarly/research activities and appropriate review has taken place
- ❖ Our online data request also assists in tracking requests (performance metrics) and ensuring requestors know what they are asking (we include a link to an online glossary of data terms)

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARDS RELATED ACTIVITIES

- ❖ Review research protocols (design, sampling, data collection/analysis, presentation of findings, etc.)
- ❖ Data to be used in conference presentations
- ❖ Ensure that if human subjects are involved, they are not put in harms way
- ❖ Proper notifications to research participants of their rights
- ❖ Governed by CFRs...Code of Federal Regulations...specifically Title 45, Public Welfare Department of Health and Human Services, Part 46 Protection of Human Subjects

LEVEL OF IRB REVIEW INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTIONS

- ❖ First, is the activity *research* that involves human subjects?
- ❖ Let's check our handy-dandy [U.S. Department of Health & Human Services Decision Charts](#)
- ❖ Let's look at Chart 1 as a starting point for further review
- ❖ Will the activity contribute to generalizable knowledge? No? Not research.
- ❖ Yes, will the activity involve obtaining data from living persons? Yes? It is research!
- ❖ Does it involve intervention and interaction with the persons? Yes? It is research involving human subjects!

LEVELS OF IRB REVIEW INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTIONS

- ❖ Exempt: Charts 2-7
 - Title 45, Part 46.101(b)
 - Research conducted in established educational settings, 45 CRF 46.101 (b)(1)
 - Research involving the use of educational tests, survey procedures, interviews, 45 CRF 46.101(b)(2) or (b)(3)
 - Research involving the use of existing data, documents, records, 45 CRF 46.101 (b)(4)
 - Does not require IRB approval, but decision tree should be referenced in making this determination

LEVELS OF IRB REVIEW INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTIONS

- ❖ Exempt: Charts 2-7
- ❖ Expedited: Charts 8 & 9
 - Has the research been previously approved by IRB? 45 CFR 46.109(d)
 - Does the research present no more than minimal risk to human subjects? 45 CFR 46.110(b)(1)
 - Is the research classified, or not eligible for expedited review? No!
 - Could identification of subjects put them at risk of criminal/civil liability? No!
 - Then can be approved without full IRB committee review

LEVELS OF IRB REVIEW INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTIONS

- ❖ Exempt: Charts 2-7
- ❖ Expedited: Charts 8 & 9
- ❖ Full committee review
 - All research involving prisoners, some research involving children, 45 CFR 46.101(i)
 - Research not conducted in commonly accepted educational settings, research not previously reviewed, research that is not a continuing review, research that presents more than a minimal risk to subjects, and classified research

COMPONENTS OF AN INFORMED CONSENT

- ❖ Participation is voluntary; and if they choose to participate, they may cease at any time
- ❖ Will they be compensated for participating?
- ❖ How will the findings be used?
- ❖ Will the responses be kept confidential?
- ❖ How will the data be secured? who, where, how!!!
- ❖ Who to contact in case of questions...including name, phone number.
- ❖ Informed consent not required for activities not deemed research by HHS Decision Trees!!.....and in some instances, when review is expedited...Charts 10 & 11

OK, LET'S CONNECT THE DOTS

- ❖ When a faculty, staff, or student requests data, for what are they using it?
- ❖ If for administrative purposes, go ahead, no IRB involvement required
- ❖ If for scholarly purposes...publications, conference presentations, have they received IRB approval?
- ❖ And, depending on what data they are requesting, when does it matter to OIR?
- ❖ Is it institutional data? Then yes!
- ❖ Is it public access? Then no concerns!
- ❖ But remember, IRB should be consulted even when the researcher is using public access data!

REFERENCES

- ❖ American University (2015). Human subjects research-IRB. Retrieved from: www.american.edu/irb/
- ❖ Gall, M.D., Gall, J.P., & Borg, W.R. (2003). *Educational research: An introduction*. (7th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- ❖ Howard, R.D., McLaughlin, G.W., & Knight, W.E. (2012). *The handbook of institutional research*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- ❖ Pomona College (2015). Office of Institutional Research. Retrieved from: www.pomona.edu/administration/institutional-research/
- ❖ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2015) Human subjects regulations decision charts. Retrieved from: <http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/checklists/decisioncharts.html>
- ❖ U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2015). IRB organization. Retrieved from: fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm126420.htm
- ❖ Yale University (2015). Office of Institutional Research. Retrieved from oir.yale.edu