

# TARLETON STATE UNIVERSITY OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH

WHO'S STAYING AND WHO'S GOING: AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT EACH??

Michael Haynes, PhD, Executive Director Morgan Carter, MS, MBA, Senior Database Developer Jamie Wood, MS, Senior Database Developer

# Why Are Retention and/or Graduation Rates Important?

- In Texas, future funding may be tied to these student success outcomes
- Both can be tied to institutional effectiveness according to Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS)
- Public indicators of institution's commitment to student success
- To improve institutional best practices

(SACS Principals of Accreditation, 2012)

## How is retention defined?

How is it measured?

How is retention different from persistence?

In higher education discussions, the rate at which students are retained or graduate, and thereby persist, in higher education, as often measured by the percentage of students who continue in higher education from one year to the succeeding year. (THECB, 2012)

The cohort generally consists of students who started in a fall term or in the previous summer term and who continued in the fall term. From this cohort we track from who is enrolled and/or graduated each long semester

The THECB has incorporated the word "persistence" to reference advancement policies in public elementary and secondary institutions

#### History of the Retention and Success Matrix

- 2009: Tarleton partnered with Noel Levitz to improve/increase best practices in retention
- 2012: Office of Institutional Research recognized the need to look at retention from a longitudinal perspective and created an 8 year, by semester matrix for First-Time In-College Cohorts
- 2013: Departures by cohort/semester were added
  - Graduation rates added to create holistic "Success Rate"
  - Demographic/performance data for retained and departed students incorporated on a semester basis via hyperlink beginning with fall 2012 FTIC cohort

# Logistics Behind Identifying, Analyzing, and Graphing the Appropriate Data/Information

- Tarleton IR chose to highlight certain measures by creating charts and graphs
- Visually appealing to administrators and faculty members as opposed to tabular or raw data
- Beginnings of an online Dashboard
- A quick reference for a number of important University measures in one place

# Logistics Behind Identifying, Analyzing, and Graphing the Appropriate Data/Information

- Data is summarized using Excel, charts and graphs are created from the summarized data
- Tarleton IR compared FTIC cohort to Retained and Departed cohorts
- By tracking this data on a semester basis, trends and areas of focus for retention can begin to be identified



# Logistics Behind Identifying, Analyzing, and Graphing the Appropriate Data/Information

- By tracking this data on a semester basis, trends and areas of focus for retention can begin to be identified
- This graphical representation of data is saved as a PDF document. This document is then made into a hyperlink from the appropriate number in the retention and success matrix.

#### Matrix for 2012 Cohort

		of body	males.	hel Burkey	-	of Body	200	th bates	n feet	to Santra			The last	The same of	-	to Burton	0.04
	2005	Agency (000)	Two 5008	Spring 3007	Part (667	Apring 2008	Park 2008	Aprile 1999	Twi (500)	Agency (010)	Pel 2016	Spring Street	Ent 1911	barring (FIE)		Apring 2016	Feb. 1814
4	CSS BYONG	1022	757	690	618	577	807	186	260	176	136	107	- 60	62	- 4	- 2	15
	Consider Relector	82.89%	81.39%	65.96%	55 (2%	67.00%	40,04%	30.26%	30.06%	21.49%	67,26%	14:00%	10.70%	1.62%	E-GPN	4.40%	2.19%
	Dejuniums Curriculum Dejuniums	211	266 476	67 543	72	600	70 671	166	67	108	40	963	000	17 670	14	17 679	17
	Demester Granustee	- 0		0		36	29	200	80	119	36	28	10	16	18	71	- 200
	CURNISH CONSUME	- T			. 0	28		213	296	414	845	475	488	900	\$15	502	
	Currential Scot Rate	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	211%	140%	17.27%	13,93%	33.58%	36.09%	30.30%	36,33%	40.50%	41.77%	49.34%	
	D00066 F388	82,89%	11,79%	55,56%	2.25	40.01%	47,30%	80,67%	53,39%	10,07%	53,38%	524%	85,02%	41375	40.4%	46,79%	
ō.	2005	faring 2007	PMI 2007	taring Story	free troop.	foring 2009	Pel:200	10016-2010	FM 2010	Stating 2571	FMI 3511	tome trid	Page 2012	tomp time	148.2011	3000g 3014	F80.2014
er.	1276 Division	1214	796	685	612	573	540	352	260	371	165	115	104	50	21	ENGLISHED STATE	en and a
	Carsone Rearton	19.34%	12.29%	83,64%	47,96%	45.66% 30	44,23%	33.69%	29.77%	20.71%	19.10%	10.10%	14.36%	11,22%	1.0%		
	Departures Cumulative Departures	254	216	592	72 964	600	53	500	600	671	26 605	809	1939	24 609	652		
	Demestel Ciraltuttes		- 0	- 1	1	21	-34	176	84	120	92	25	.11	341	2000		
	Currelative Graduites	4.0	0	100	2	23	57	230	216	436	535	554	585	559			
	CURRENT SIDE FOR	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.16%	130%	3,48%	18.15%	24.75%	34.12%	41,31%	45.8%	44.21%	48,00%			
	Duccomo Flura	79,36%	62,25%	\$172%	45.52%	0.0%	48,53%	21.01%	56,00%	5,65	50,60%	3,3%	32%	57,31%			_
V	2067	Surfey 2008	PMI 2008	Earting 2008	Part 2008	Same 2010	PMI 2010	Surfreg 2011	PMI 2011	Spring SPIG	PMI 3010.	Surrey 2010.	Pati SHID	Baring SELE	PARTIES.	Spring 2016	TM1.00%
art.	1216 EVOIRE	1904	793	706	967	603	545	360	261	198	148	111	- 45	and the same of th		THE CONTRACTOR	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
	Consider National	82,57%	1521%	55.11%	54.60%	50,50%	67,77%	36,69%	30.49%	10.46%	19.50%	05.20%	4.6%				
	Organization Copurtures	212	211 403	579	43 880	554	56	166 504	256	103	10 575	584	450				
	Demokler Coxputes			-	- 2	26	- 46	187	98	8	- 33	36	100				
	Currentine Scotlarini		- 1	1		29	.76	262	365	467	490	821					
	Curredutive Grad Rate Spaces Actor	82.67%	8621%	88.19%	525% 5430%	238%	5157% 6152%	21.55%	29.6 Ph	37.58%	40.10% 55.50%	60.55% 86.10%					
	02060 1025	ALIPS.	8621%	65,199	54.60%	52.79%	63,92%	90,275	MI.10%	36,045	59.52%	86.12%					_
	2009	T087ng 3006	Fait 2008	Spring 2016	Felt (015	Spring 2011	Pakititi	toring (H1)	DE JEC	Spring (SVA	THE STITE	Spring 2014	F90 2014	Tortng (H16	58.09	forms (916)	Fact plans
	1296 EVENUE	1083	886	77	729	656	895	367	361	257	122						
	Consider Reletor Departure	E163%	16.52% 128	59.52%	34.79%	31.60% 53	48.87%	21.75%	17 62%	21.19%	1536%						
	Currelative Departures	212	140	624	585	614	804	621	120	306	671						
	Serveder Scanuties			. 0	1	24	51	201	47	128.0							
	CANADA CIRCANI	0	0.000			25	1,87%	217	274	502							
	Cumulative Scale Roter Suppose Tribs	0.00% 85.67%	0.00%	550%	54.87%	1,07%	54.55%	21,39%	25.68% 55.52%	30,79% 59,97%							
								-									
	2009	tarting Strict	Fed.2018	\$900g.2011	Pail 2011	\$94% DE12	PRODUCE	\$56% 2012	AME 2018	Starting State	Fed 2014	\$9/50g 2018	F46 2016	Spring Street	7972716	3010g 2017	Patitory
100	1200 Erroled	1039	800	706	602	447	600	503	311								
	Consiste Assets Departure	84.30%	57.81% 206	62.29%	84.03% 103	50.29%	6521%	47.92%	3527%								
	CANADA DESTANO	193	300	465	594	578	884	363	122								
	Senedar Cristwas				4	37	41	218									
	Cumulative Scaluttee					37	76	. 296									
	Currentible Ordo Ratio Currows Rate	64.30%	67.61%	42.31%	544%	3.00% (3.29%	63%	24.03% 71.68%									
												F**9***II	1.00	1.0 000.00	0.000		
	2010	Spring 2011	Fe8 (811)	Spring 2010	Part (MI)	ting (III)	Perzett	Spring 3016	PME 2014	Spring Strict	Parl 3016	Spring Still	Fail (016	Tarting SHIT	Put fett	Spring Strip	Tel 2016
8	1488 EVOIED	TOAT	97.4	898	797	766	- 61										ALCOHOL:
	Curtation Fallerities Occasions	228	95.35% 266	60.87%	54.29%	10.20% 31	48.68% 76							ALCOHOLD SERVICE	of Parling in	-	
	Currently Departures	206	194	673	660	954	729					ALC: UNKNOWN	State of the local division in which the local division in which the local division in t				
	Gerneter Graduates				1	45				ACCRECATE VALUE OF THE PARTY OF	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other						
	Currentitive Crateures	9			- 3	46		Section 2019	A								
	Cumulable Snot Rate Overcook State	0.00%	0.00%	0.30% AD 67%	120%	127%											
				-													Spi
	2011	5475	16,30%	40,57%	54.60%	-		-									= u 2014

CANA DIFF.		Spring 2013 1493	1180	Spring 2014 1002 58.09%	Fall 2014	
Fall 201		86.55%	68.41%	178 723		li li
Cohort	Cumulative	232 232	545	-		
	Cumulative Departs	0				Fig. 10.
	Cumulative Graduates Cumulative Grad Rate	0.00% 86.55%				
	Success Rate	00.0				

#### Build it and they will come...

- It all starts with building the FTIC table once the CBMoo1 is certified for fall semesters. Each subsequent retention term is based off of certified data
- The graduation information is based off of CBM009 data, but we plug in preliminary numbers after each Semester
- All the reports are run using sql and updated in the spreadsheet manually (would like to find a way to automate this)
- Once we have identified who has departed, data is submitted to the student tracker program through the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC)

# Members of Cohort are always in **one** of three buckets...







### Characteristics of the entire cohort

Additional descriptive statistics of the retained/departed students beginning with the first spring semester

- Gender
- Ethnicity
- College/major
- Residence hall
- Orientation attended
- First-generation college status

- Grade point average
- Semester credit hours attempted
- Semester credit hours completed
- Percentage of SCH completed
- High school rank

## By Semester, you can Start Exploring Characteristics of Retained and Departed Students

For retained students, what characteristics are present?

For departed students which areas warrant further investigation?

- Higher percentage of SCH completed
- Cumulative GPA
- Residence hall

- If they transferred, where did they transfer to?
- Is there a college/major indicator?
- Is there a relationship between attrition and orientation session attended?
- Are there financial aid considerations?

## Questions?

